

The NTIA Transition

Chris Buckridge External Relations, RIPE NCC

Background


- March 2014: NTIA announced intention to transition away from oversight of the Internet Assigned
 Numbers Authority (IANA) functions
- IANA functions include serving as global registry of Internet number resources (IP addresses, AS Numbers)
 - RIPE and RIPE NCC key IANA stakeholders
 - Other functions include DNS root zone management and protocol parameter registries
- ICANN contracted by NTIA to manage IANA functions operation (since 1998)




- RIPE NCC (and other RIRs) obtain number resources from (and occasionally return them to) the IANA pool
- This is done according to policies developed globally by the RIR communities
 - Passed to ICANN for ratification/implementation via the NRO Number Council, acting as the ASO Address Council
- Even those interactions quite limited...
 - One or two resource requests per year



IANA Policy-Making







What Is Oversight?

- "Oversight" has historically sat with U.S.
 Government
 - Dept. of Defence (ARPA), then Dept. of Commerce (NTIA)
- Generally very hands-off
 - NTIA has no direct role in policy-making or operational implementation for Internet number resources
- Significant role: making/holding/changing contract for IANA operational management
 - Past contract renewals have included updating of service terms, often with input from IANA stakeholders
 - See NRO submission to NTIA in 2011



- NTIA statement established certain ground rules:
 - Global, multi-stakeholder process convened by ICANN
 - Any proposal must meet certain requirements:

...the transition proposal must have broad community support and address the following four principles:

- Support and enhance the multistakeholder model;
- Maintain the security, stability, and resiliency of the Internet DNS;
- Meet the needs and expectation of the global customers and partners of the IANA services; and,
- Maintain the openness of the Internet.



Open Questions

- What do these NTIA requirements mean for a new oversight arrangement?
 - To what extent must this oversight be "multistakeholder"?
 - Do major changes risk the "security, stability, and resiliency of the Internet DNS"?
 - How does oversight (particularly of the Internet number resource function) affect "openness of the Internet"?
- Where do the RIR communities fit into a future model?
 - ...and what would that mean for our community processes?



- ICANN has established the <u>ianatransition@icann.org</u> mailing list
 - Also looking at a steering committee/coordination group to channel global input
- RIPE discussion will take place primarily within the Cooperation Working Group
 - There will also be discussion in other forums (MENOG, ENOG), RIPE NCC regional meetings
 - Output from these discussions will be fed into the global process
 - The RIPE NCC will facilitate coordination with other RIR communities

